

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NOTE ON PRESCRIBED Q-CURVATURE

MINGXIANG LI

A NOTE ON PRESCRIBED Q-CURVATURE

MINGXIANG LI

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $5 \leq n \leq 7$ with nonnegative scalar curvature and semipositive Q-curvature. Assume (M, g) is not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere. If a prescribed positive smooth function f is flat up to $n - 4$ order at some maximum point, there exists a conformal metric $\tilde{g} = u^{4/(n-4)}g$ such that $Q_{\tilde{g}} = f$. This is a natural higher order version of the result of Escobar and Schoen (1986).

1. Introduction

In 1983, Paneitz [2008] introduced a fourth-order conformally invariant operator on an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, where $n \geq 4$. Not long after, Branson [1985] introduced the Q-curvature. To describe the Paneitz operator, let A denote the Schouten tensor

$$A_g = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(\text{Ric}_g - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} R_g g \right),$$

where Ric_g is the Ricci tensor and R_g is the scalar curvature. Let J denotes the trace of A_g , i.e., $J = R_g/(2(n-1))$. Then the Q-curvature is given by

$$(1-1) \quad Q_g = -\Delta_g J - 2|A_g|^2 + \frac{n}{2} J^2.$$

The Paneitz operator is given by

$$(1-2) \quad P_g u = \Delta_g^2 u + \text{div}_g \{ (4A_g - (n-2)Jg)(\nabla u, \cdot) \} + \frac{n-4}{2} Q_g u.$$

For $n \geq 5$, suppose $\hat{g} = u^{4/(n-4)}g$ is a conformal metric, the Paneitz operator satisfies

$$P_{\hat{g}} \varphi = u^{-(n+4)/(n-4)} P_g(u\varphi),$$

and then the Q-curvature of $\hat{g}$ is given by

$$Q_{\hat{g}} = \frac{2}{n-4} u^{-(n+4)/(n-4)} P_g u.$$

Due to the conformal invariant of the Paneitz operator, a natural question is: can we find a conformal metric of constant Q-curvature which is a higher order version of

MSC2020: 35J35, 53C21.

Keywords: prescribed Q-curvature, Paneitz operator.

the Yamabe problem? Recently, fruitful results were obtained, such as [Qing and Raske 2006; Hebey and Robert 2004; Gursky and Malchiodi 2015; Hang and Yang 2016; Gursky et al. 2016; Li and Xiong 2019; Li 2019]. Similar to the positive mass theorem in the Yamabe problem, the analogue in Q-curvature is obtained in [Humbert and Raulot 2009; Gursky and Malchiodi 2015; Hang and Yang 2016]. On the other hand, the prescribed Q-curvature problem also attracts us. Different from the prescribed scalar curvature problem, the lack of maximal principle causes many problems in finding positive solutions. Fortunately, Gursky and Malchiodi [2015] gave the strong maximal principle of P_g under the assumption $R_g \geq 0$ and Q_g is semipositive, which means $Q_g \geq 0$ and $Q_g \not\equiv 0$. The strong maximal principle of P_g plays a crucial role in the existence of positive solutions. Meanwhile, the positivity of P_g is also important and many works are devoted to studying it, such as [Xu and Yang 2001; Djadli et al. 2000; Gursky et al. 2016; Gursky and Malchiodi 2015]. There are some results in special geometric settings. In [Djadli et al. 2000], the authors proved some compactness results in the case where P_g has constant coefficients (for example, (M, g) is an Einstein metric). Subsequently, Esposito and Robert [2002] used the mountain pass theorem to study the related problems. For $n \geq 8$, they gave a sufficient condition of the existence of solutions to the equation

$$P_g u = f|u|^{8/(n-4)}u,$$

for nonlocally conformally flat manifolds but with no information on their sign. Similar perturbation results like the cases about scalar curvature in $\mathbb{S}^n$ were obtained by [Djadli et al. 2002a; 2002b] and others.

Before stating our main results, we give some notation. $Y(M, g)$ denotes the Yamabe invariant. Define a conformal invariant similar to the Yamabe invariant by

$$Y_4(M, g) = \inf_{u \in H^2(M) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_M u P_g u \, d\mu_g}{\left(\int_M |u|^{2n/(n-4)} \, d\mu_g\right)^{(n-4)/n}}.$$

When (M, g) is the sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ with standard metric, the works of [Lieb 1983; Lions 1985a; 1985b; Edmunds et al. 1990] and Proposition 1.1 in [Djadli et al. 2000] show that

$$Y_4(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}^n}) = \frac{n(n^2 - 4)(n - 4)\omega_n^{4/n}}{16},$$

where $\omega_n = |\mathbb{S}^n|$. Similarly, to deal with the prescribed scalar curvature problem, we define the functional

$$(1-3) \quad I_{q,f}(u) = \frac{\int_M u \cdot P_g u \, d\mu_g}{\left(\int_M f|u|^q \, d\mu_g\right)^{2/q}},$$

where $2 < q \leq 2n/(n - 4)$ and $u \in H^2(M) \setminus \{0\}$. Set $\mu_q = \inf_{u \in H^2(M) \setminus \{0\}} I_{q,f}(u)$.

Inspired by the work of Escobar and Schoen [1986], our main results can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold having dimension $n = 5, 6, 7$, or let (M, g) be conformally flat of dimension $n \geq 5$. Assume $R_g \geq 0$, Q_g is semipositive and (M, g) is not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere. Let f be a positive smooth function and flat up to order $n - 4$ at some maximum point. Then there exists a conformal metric $\hat{g} = u^{4/(n-4)}g$ such that $Q_{\hat{g}} = f$.*

Remark 1.2. We notice that the flatness condition holds automatically when $n = 5$. For the nontrivial group G of isometries of $\mathbb{S}^n$, we can get the related results on $\mathbb{S}^n/G$ similar to [Robert 2003].

As a direct consequence, together with the result in [Gursky et al. 2016], there holds:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold having dimension $n = 6, 7$, or let (M, g) be conformally flat of dimension $n \geq 6$. Assume $Y_4(M, g) > 0$, $Y(M, g) > 0$ and (M, g) is not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere. Let f be a positive smooth function and flat up to order $n - 4$ at some maximum point. Then there exists a conformal metric $\hat{g} = u^{4/(n-4)}g$ such that $Q_{\hat{g}} = f$.*

2. Main proof

Proposition 2.1. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian n -manifold with $n \geq 5$, $R_g \geq 0$ and semipositive Q_g . Let f be a smooth positive function on M . If*

$$(2-1) \quad \mu_{2n/(n-4)} < \frac{Y_4(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}^n})}{(\max f)^{(n-4)/n}},$$

then there exists a positive $u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that f be the Q -curvature of the conformal metric $\bar{g} = u^{4/(n-4)}g$.

Proof. Based on the condition $R_g \geq 0$ and Q_g is semipositive, we apply Theorem 2.2 in [Gursky and Malchiodi 2015] to conclude that P_g satisfies the strong maximum principle, i.e., for all $u \in C^4(M)$, if $P_g u \geq 0$, then either $u > 0$ or $u \equiv 0$ on M . Meanwhile, Proposition 2.3 in [Gursky and Malchiodi 2015] shows that P_g is coercive. With these two important properties, combine Proposition 4.1 with Theorem 5.2 in [Robert 2009] to get the desired result. For convenience, we give a brief proof here. For any $q \in (2, 2n/(n-4))$, with the compactness of the embedding $H^2(M) \hookrightarrow L^q(M)$ and the fact that P_g is coercive, use the standard variational method to get $u_q \in H^2(M) \setminus \{0\}$ which achieves the minimum of $I_{q,f}$ and weakly solves

$$(2-2) \quad P_g u_q = \mu f |u_q|^{q-2} u_q,$$

where $\mu = \mu_q \left(\int_M f |u_q|^q d\mu_g \right)^{2/q-1}$. As for the regularity of the weak solution, different from elliptic cases, we need the techniques developed in [Van der Vorst 1993; Djadli et al. 2000] and Proposition 3 in [Esposito and Robert 2002] to get $u_q \in C^4(M)$, which is then a strong solution of (2-2). Let $\tilde{u}_q$ solve the equation $P_g \tilde{u}_q = |P_g u_q|$. Notice that $|P_g u_q| \in C^{0,1}(M)$, and then apply the regularity result in Proposition 3 of [Esposito and Robert 2002] to get $\tilde{u}_q \in C^4(M)$. On one hand, due to the strong maximum principle of P_g , there holds $\tilde{u}_q > 0$. On the other hand, since $P_g(\tilde{u}_q \pm u_q) \geq 0$, there holds $\tilde{u}_q \geq |u_q|$. By a direct computation, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} I_{q,f}(\tilde{u}_q) &= \frac{\int_M \tilde{u}_q P_g \tilde{u}_q d\mu_g}{\left(\int_M f |\tilde{u}_q|^q d\mu_g \right)^{2/q}} = \frac{\mu \int_M \tilde{u}_q f |u_q|^{q-1} d\mu_g}{\left(\int_M f |\tilde{u}_q|^q d\mu_g \right)^{2/q}} \\ &\leq \mu \left(\int_M f \tilde{u}_q^q d\mu_g \right)^{-1/q} \cdot \left(\int_M f |u_q|^q d\mu_g \right)^{1-1/q} \\ &\leq \mu \left(\int_M f |u_q|^q d\mu_g \right)^{1-2/q} = \mu_q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\tilde{u}_q$ also achieves the minimum of the energy and solves the equation

$$(2-3) \quad P_g \tilde{u}_q = \tilde{\mu} f \tilde{u}_q^{q-1},$$

where $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_q \left(\int_M f \tilde{u}_q^q d\mu_g \right)^{2/q-1}$. With the restriction (2-1), for $q = 2n/(n-4)$, we obtain a weak solution $u \neq 0$ such that

$$P_g u = f |u|^{8/(n-4)} u,$$

which is a minimizer of $I_{2n/(n-4),f}$. By the regularity result in Proposition 3 of [Esposito and Robert 2002], there holds $u \in C^4(M)$. Take the same strategy as above to obtain the positive minimizer $u^* \in C^4(M)$ of the functional $I_{(2n)/n-4,f}(u)$. Due to f being smooth, the higher regularity of u^* can be obtained. We refer to [Robert 2009] for more details. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold having dimension $n = 5, 6, 7$, or let (M, g) be conformally flat of dimension $n \geq 5$. Assume $R_g \geq 0$ and Q_g is semipositive. Let f be a positive smooth function and flat up to order $n-4$ at some maximum point x_0 . If (M, g) is not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere, then for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, there exists a function $\psi_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(M)$ and a constant $c_{x_0} > 0$ such that*

$$(2-4) \quad I_{2n/(n-4),f}(\psi_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{Y_4(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}^n})}{(\max f)^{(n-4)/n}} - c_{x_0} \varepsilon^{n-4}.$$

Proof. Based on Proposition 2.5 in [Gursky and Malchiodi 2015], consider the conformal normal coordinates $\tilde{g} = \varphi^{4/(n-4)} g$ of [Lee and Parker 1987] at x_0 under

our assumption. The Green's function for the Paneitz operator with pole has the following expansion:

$$G_{x_0}(x) = \frac{c_n}{d_{\tilde{g}}(x, x_0)^{n-4}} + \alpha_{x_0} + O^{(4)}(r),$$

where $c_n = 1/((n-2)(n-4)\omega_{n-1})$, $\omega_{n-1} = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$ and $O^{(k)}(r^m)$ denotes any quantity ϕ satisfying $|\nabla^j \phi(x)| \leq C_j r^{m-j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, where $r = |x| = d_{\tilde{g}}(x, x_0)$. Under our assumption, there holds $\alpha_{x_0} > 0$ by Theorem 2.9 in [Gursky and Malchiodi 2015]. Let $\eta(x)$ be a cut-off function with support in a ball $B_{2\delta}(x_0)$ and equal to 1 in $B_\delta(x_0)$. Set

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\eta(x)}{(\varepsilon^2 + d_{\tilde{g}}(x, x_0)^2)^{(n-4)/2}}.$$

As in Lemma 4.2 of [Gursky and Malchiodi 2015], there holds

$$P_{\tilde{g}}(u_\varepsilon) = \frac{b_n \varepsilon^4}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{(n+4)/2}} + \frac{O(1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{(n-4)/2}},$$

where $b_n = n(n-4)(n^2-4)$. Consider the function $\hat{u}_\varepsilon$ defined by

$$P_{\tilde{g}} \hat{u}_\varepsilon = \frac{\eta(x) b_n \varepsilon^4}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{(n+4)/2}}.$$

We consider a cut-off function $\tilde{\chi}_{\tilde{\delta}}(x) = \tilde{\chi}(x/\tilde{\delta})$, where $\tilde{\chi}$ is a cut-off function equal to 1 in B_1 and equal to zero outside B_2 . We define an approximate solution $\check{u}_\varepsilon$ by

$$\check{u}_\varepsilon = \tilde{\chi}_{\tilde{\delta}}(u_\varepsilon + \beta) + (1 - \tilde{\chi}_{\tilde{\delta}}) \frac{1}{c_n} G_{x_0},$$

with $\tilde{\delta} \ll \delta$, which is positive. By Lemma 5.3 in [Gursky and Malchiodi 2015], $|\hat{u}_\varepsilon - \check{u}_\varepsilon| = o_{\tilde{\delta}}(1)$. By the direct computation as in Section 5 of [Gursky and Malchiodi 2015], one has

$$(2-5) \quad \int_M \hat{u}_\varepsilon P_{\tilde{g}} \hat{u}_\varepsilon \, d\mu_{\tilde{g}} = b_n \varepsilon^4 \left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^{2n/(n-4)} \, d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + \beta(1 + o(1)) \int_M u_\varepsilon^{(n+4)/(n-4)} \, d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + O(1) \right),$$

where $\beta = \alpha_{x_0}/c_n > 0$.

Due to the flatness condition of f at x_0 , we have $f(x_0) - f(x) \leq c|x|^{n-3}$ in $B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)$. Then we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M f |\hat{u}_\varepsilon|^{2n/(n-4)} \, d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \\ &= \int_{B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)} f(x) (u_\varepsilon + \beta)^{2n/(n-4)} \, d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + O(1) \\ &\geq f(x_0) \int_{B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)} (u_\varepsilon + \beta)^{2n/(n-4)} \, d\mu_{\tilde{g}} - c \int_{B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)} |x|^{n-3} (u_\varepsilon + \beta)^{2n/(n-4)} \, d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since in $B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)$, β is bounded by u_ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_M (u_\varepsilon + \beta)^{2n/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \\
 &= \int_{B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)} u_\varepsilon^{2n/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + \frac{2n}{n-4} \beta \int_{B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)} u_\varepsilon^{(n+4)/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \\
 & \quad + \beta^2 \int_{B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)} O(u_\varepsilon^{8/(n-4)}) d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + O(1) \\
 &= \int_M u_\varepsilon^{2n/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + \frac{2n}{n-4} \beta \int_M u_\varepsilon^{(n+4)/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + O(\varepsilon^{n-8}) + O(1) \\
 &= c_1 \varepsilon^{-n} + c_2 \varepsilon^{-4} + O(\varepsilon^{n-8}) + O(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)} |x|^{n-3} (u_\varepsilon + \beta)^{2n/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} &\leq C \int_{B_{\tilde{\delta}}(x_0)} |x|^{n-3} u_\varepsilon^{2n/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \\
 &\leq C \int_{B_{\tilde{\delta}}} |x|^{n-3} (\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{-n} dx = O(\varepsilon^{-3}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus due to the fact that $n - 8 \geq -3$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-6) \quad & \int_M f |\hat{u}_\varepsilon|^{2n/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \\
 & \geq f(x_0) \left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^{2n/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + \frac{2n}{n-4} \beta \int_M u_\varepsilon^{(n+4)/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} + O(\varepsilon^{-3}) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining estimates (2-5) and (2-6), a Taylor expansion implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{2n/(n-4), f}(\varphi \hat{u}_\varepsilon) &= \frac{\int_M \hat{u}_\varepsilon P_{\tilde{g}} \hat{u}_\varepsilon d\mu_{\tilde{g}}}{\left(\int_M f |\hat{u}_\varepsilon|^{2n/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{(n-4)/n}} \\
 &\leq \frac{Y_4(S_n)}{f(x_0)^{(n-4)/n}} \left(1 - \beta(1 + o(1)) \frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^{2n/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}}}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^{(n+4)/(n-4)} d\mu_{\tilde{g}}} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{Y_4(S_n)}{f(x_0)^{(n-4)/n}} - c_{x_0} \varepsilon^{n-4}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. Combining together Proposition 2.1 with Proposition 2.2, we prove Theorem 1.1. Under the assumption on (M, g) of Theorem 1.3, we get a conformal metric $g_1 = u_1^{4/(n-4)} g$ such that $R_{g_1} > 0$ and $Q_{g_1} > 0$ by using Theorem 1.1 in [Gursky et al. 2016]. Apply Theorem 1.1 to get a conformal metric $g_2 = u_2^{4/(n-4)} g_1 = (u_1 u_2)^{4/(n-4)} g$ such that $Q_{g_2} = f$. $\square$

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor Xingwang Xu for his helpful discussions and thank the referees for their helpful comments.

References

- [Branson 1985] T. P. Branson, “Differential operators canonically associated to a conformal structure”, *Math. Scand.* **57**:2 (1985), 293–345. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Djadli et al. 2000] Z. Djadli, E. Hebey, and M. Ledoux, “Paneitz-type operators and applications”, *Duke Math. J.* **104**:1 (2000), 129–169. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Djadli et al. 2002a] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, and M. O. Ahmedou, “Prescribing a fourth order conformal invariant on the standard sphere, I: A perturbation result”, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **4**:3 (2002), 375–408. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Djadli et al. 2002b] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, and M. O. Ahmedou, “Prescribing a fourth order conformal invariant on the standard sphere, II: Blow up analysis and applications”, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **1**:2 (2002), 387–434. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Edmunds et al. 1990] D. E. Edmunds, D. Fortunato, and E. Jannelli, “Critical exponents, critical dimensions and the biharmonic operator”, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **112**:3 (1990), 269–289. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Escobar and Schoen 1986] J. F. Escobar and R. M. Schoen, “Conformal metrics with prescribed scalar curvature”, *Invent. Math.* **86**:2 (1986), 243–254. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Esposito and Robert 2002] P. Esposito and F. Robert, “Mountain pass critical points for Paneitz–Branson operators”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **15**:4 (2002), 493–517. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gursky and Malchiodi 2015] M. J. Gursky and A. Malchiodi, “A strong maximum principle for the Paneitz operator and a non-local flow for the Q -curvature”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **17**:9 (2015), 2137–2173. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gursky et al. 2016] M. J. Gursky, F. Hang, and Y.-J. Lin, “Riemannian manifolds with positive Yamabe invariant and Paneitz operator”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2016**:5 (2016), 1348–1367. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hang and Yang 2016] F. Hang and P. C. Yang, “ Q -curvature on a class of manifolds with dimension at least 5”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **69**:8 (2016), 1452–1491. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hebey and Robert 2004] E. Hebey and F. Robert, “Compactness and global estimates for the geometric Paneitz equation in high dimensions”, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (2004), 135–141. [MR](#)
- [Humbert and Raulot 2009] E. Humbert and S. Raulot, “Positive mass theorem for the Paneitz–Branson operator”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **36**:4 (2009), 525–531. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lee and Parker 1987] J. M. Lee and T. H. Parker, “The Yamabe problem”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **17**:1 (1987), 37–91. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Li 2019] G. Li, “A compactness theorem on Branson’s Q -curvature equation”, *Pacific J. Math.* **302**:1 (2019), 119–179. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Li and Xiong 2019] Y. Li and J. Xiong, “Compactness of conformal metrics with constant Q -curvature, I”, *Adv. Math.* **345** (2019), 116–160. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lieb 1983] E. H. Lieb, “Sharp constants in the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev and related inequalities”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **118**:2 (1983), 349–374. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lions 1985a] P.-L. Lions, “The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations: The limit case, I”, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **1**:1 (1985), 145–201. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lions 1985b] P.-L. Lions, “The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations: The limit case, II”, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **1**:2 (1985), 45–121. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Paneitz 2008] S. M. Paneitz, “A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds”, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **4** (2008), art. id. 036. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Qing and Raske 2006] J. Qing and D. Raske, “On positive solutions to semilinear conformally invariant equations on locally conformally flat manifolds”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2006** (2006), art. id. 94172. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Robert 2003] F. Robert, “Positive solutions for a fourth order equation invariant under isometries”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131**:5 (2003), 1423–1431. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Robert 2009] F. Robert, “Fourth order equations with critical growth in Riemannian geometry”, lecture notes, 2009, available at <https://iecl.univ-lorraine.fr/files/2021/04/LectRobertFourth.pdf>.
- [Van der Vorst 1993] R. C. A. M. Van der Vorst, “Best constant for the embedding of the space $H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^{2N/(N-4)}(\Omega)$ ”, *Differential Integral Equations* **6**:2 (1993), 259–276. [MR](#)
- [Xu and Yang 2001] X. Xu and P. C. Yang, “Positivity of Paneitz operators”, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems* **7**:2 (2001), 329–342. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received September 16, 2021.

MINGXIANG LI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

NANJING UNIVERSITY

NANJING

CHINA

limx@smail.nju.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2022 is US \$560/year for the electronic version, and \$760/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by *Mathematical Reviews*, *Zentralblatt MATH*, PASCAL CNRS Index, *Referativnyi Zhurnal*, *Current Mathematical Publications* and *Web of Knowledge* (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2022 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 319 No. 1 July 2022

Nonmanifold monodromy spaces of branched coverings between manifolds	1
MARTINA AALTONEN	
Four-manifolds of pinched sectional curvature	17
XIAODONG CAO and HUNG TRAN	
On some symmetries of the base n expansion of $1/m$: the class number connection	39
KALYAN CHAKRABORTY and KRISHNARJUN KRISHNAMOORTHY	
Nevanlinna theory via holomorphic forms	55
XIANJING DONG and SHUANGSHUANG YANG	
Betti numbers and anti-lecture hall compositions of random threshold graphs	75
ALEXANDER ENGSTRÖM, CHRISTIAN GO and MATTHEW T. STAMPS	
On the multiplicity of umbilic points	99
MARCO ANTÔNIO DO COUTO FERNANDES and FARID TARI	
Dirac cohomology and orthogonality relations for weight modules	129
JING-SONG HUANG and WEI XIAO	
Totally geodesic surfaces in twist knot complements	153
KHANH LE and REBEKAH PALMER	
A note on prescribed Q -curvature	181
MINGXIANG LI	
Rigidity of valuate trees under henselization	189
ENRIC NART	
Algebraic geometric secret sharing schemes over large fields are asymptotically threshold	213
FAN PENG, HAO CHEN and CHANG-AN ZHAO	